

Paper Code:

Paper Title:

Reviewer:

Criteria	1	2	3	4	Score
Attention to detail	Riddled with mistakes and errors to the point that the paper is illegible	Many mistakes to the point that they are distracting, but gist of the paper is still discernible	Few, subtle mistakes that are not distracting	No mistakes or inconsistencies observed	
Quality of research	Few sources used, no variety in source selection	Some diversity of sources but does not look like they really tried to research	Author uses wide variety of quality sources	Outstanding breadth and depth of research	
Quality of writing	Poor organization, hard to recognize the argument's flow, language unclear	Some vague language, attempts at organization that are not fully developed	Language imprecise at times, but generally clear; well organized and structured	Writing and organization efficiently convey argument; crystal clear and precise	
Quality of analysis	Argument and evidence completely unrelated	Evidence is related to argument but does not necessarily support it	Evidence generally supports argument; there are still unanswered questions that have not been acknowledged	Evidence fully supports argument; author acknowledges unanswered questions or flaws	
Creativity	Unoriginal thinking; merely recycling facts	Mainly relies on established thinking but makes an effort to formulate original ideas	Unique argument that is generally original	Page turner, raises original thoughts and arguments	
Total					

Comments: